# EXHIBIT A

### **Keith Jones**

**From:** John D. Simmons

**Sent:** Thursday, May 13, 2021 4:42 PM

**To:** Anania, Mark H.; Moustakas, George; Samuels, Joel

Cc: Scrivani, Stacey A.; Keith Jones; Lisa (Toth) Simpson (Isimpson@orrick.com); Lopez, Stephanie; 'Poff,

Adam'; Vrana, Robert

**Subject:** Re: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson

Electric

**Attachments:** Coface Collections North Am.\_ Inc. v. Newton\_ 2012 U.S[1].PDF; SCHEDULE A to Subpoena to

Emerson Electric Co.(1878322.1).pdf; Subpoena to Emerson Emerson Electric Co(1878328.1)[1].pdf

### Mark:

Thank you for confirming that Emerson Radio's witness is within the subpoena power of the DE court for the Emerson Electric case.

Based on the attached case from Judge Stark, we are requesting that you reconsider your position regarding whether we can issue a subpoena from the Radio case to Emerson Electric for a *de bene esse* type deposition.

We are taking Emerson Electric's 30(b)(6) deposition on May 27<sup>th</sup>. We plan to ask that witness questions based on the topics set forth in the attached subpoena, which we have not served based on your opposition, regardless of whether you reconsider or not. If we have to go to the court, it would be burdensome on Emerson Electric's witness to have to appear a second time at a later date. We do not see how it would be a burden on you to attend the relevant portion of the Emerson Electric deposition which will be conducted by Zoom.

# George and Joel:

Assuming that Mark does <u>not</u> reconsider, would you object to Mark and/or Stacey attending the relevant portion of your 30(b)(6) deposition? We can pick a time like right after the lunch break (say 1:30 PM EDT) so that Mark and/or Stacey could be scheduled.

In the event the Court grants our leave, we can revisit just using the relevant portion of your 30(b)(6) deposition without having to reconvene for a second deposition two months from now.

We are trying to reduce the burden on the witness.

Let us know if you all want to discuss by phone.

## Best regards, John

ALERT: The health, safety and welfare of our employees, clients, vendors and friends is important to our Firm. Therefore, all employees of Panitch Schwarze are working remotely. We will continue to respond to your emails and calls as quickly as possible. Please contact us before sending anything in the mail or by hand delivery, as our offices are closed until further notice per government orders. We remain committed to you during this unprecedented time. Please reach out to us if you have any questions or concerns and thank you for your understanding.

John D. Simmons

PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP



Wells Fargo Tower 2200 Concord Pike, Suite 201 Wilmington, DE 19803 (302) 394-1330 Main (302) 394-6001 Direct (267) 679-3651 Cellular jsimmons@panitchlaw.com

From: "Anania, Mark H." < Mark. Anania@stevenslee.com>

Date: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 at 9:46 AM

To: John Simmons <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

Cc: "Scrivani, Stacey A." <SASC@stevenslee.com>, Keith Jones <KJones@panitchlaw.com>, "Lisa (Toth)

Simpson (Isimpson@orrick.com)" < Isimpson@orrick.com>, "Lopez, Stephanie"

<Stephanie.Lopez@stevenslee.com>

Subject: RE: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

External Email: This email is from outside of the company - Please use caution when opening links and attachments.

Hi John:

This follows up on the results of our meet and confer earlier this morning.

### 

As to the subpoena to Emerson Radio, based on our understanding that Emerson Radio is now within 100 miles of the District of Delaware's Wilmington courthouse, an Emerson Radio representative is subject to a subpoena to appear at trial and give testimony in Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-01846-LPS-JLH. As a result, we agreed that the subpoena to Emerson Radio for which we accepted service on May 6, 2021 is moot and therefore withdrawn.

As to the proposed subpoena to Emerson Electric, Defendants will need to seek leave to serve it and we intend to oppose. We understand that Defendants will prepare the first draft of the submission to the Court to raise the issue and provide a copy for our review and comment prior to filing. We will look for that when ready.

Mark

Mark H. Anania STEVENS & LEE P.C.

Office: 201.857.6769 | Mobile: 732.673.8924 mark.anania@stevenslee.com | biography

From: Anania, Mark H.

Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 6:57 PM

To: 'John D. Simmons' <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

**Cc:** Scrivani, Stacey A. <SASC@stevenslee.com>; Keith Jones <KJones@panitchlaw.com>; Lisa (Toth) Simpson

(lsimpson@orrick.com) <lsimpson@orrick.com>

Subject: RE: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

Will do – talk then. Thanks John.

Mark

Mark H. Anania STEVENS & LEE P.C.

Office: 201.857.6769 | Mobile: 732.673.8924 mark.anania@stevenslee.com | biography

From: John D. Simmons <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 11:00 AM

To: Anania, Mark H. < Mark. Anania@stevenslee.com>

Cc: Scrivani, Stacey A. <SASC@stevenslee.com>; Keith Jones <KJones@panitchlaw.com>

Subject: Re: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

Good morning, Mark:

I can do 9:00 AM tomorrow. Just call me directly.

Best regards, John

ALERT: The health, safety and welfare of our employees, clients, vendors and friends is important to our Firm. Therefore, all employees of Panitch Schwarze are working remotely. We will continue to respond to your emails

and calls as quickly as possible. Please contact us before sending anything in the mail or by hand delivery, as our offices are closed until further notice per government orders. We remain committed to you during this unprecedented time. Please reach out to us if you have any questions or concerns and thank you for your understanding.

John D. Simmons

PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP

# P A N I T C H SCHWARZE

Wells Fargo Tower 2200 Concord Pike, Suite 201 Wilmington, DE 19803 (302) 394-1330 Main (302) 394-6001 Direct (267) 679-3651 Cellular jsimmons@panitchlaw.com

From: "Anania, Mark H." < Mark.Anania@stevenslee.com >

Date: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 at 10:45 AM

To: John Simmons <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

Cc: "Scrivani, Stacey A." <SASC@stevenslee.com>, Keith Jones <KJones@panitchlaw.com>

Subject: RE: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

External Email: This email is from outside of the company - Please use caution when opening links and attachments.

Hi John:

Are you available for a further meet and confer on both the pending subpoena issues tomorrow morning at 9:00?

Mark

Mark H. Anania STEVENS & LEE P.C.

Office: 201.857.6769 | Mobile: 732.673.8924 mark.anania@stevenslee.com | biography From: John D. Simmons < JSimmons@panitchlaw.com >

Sent: Monday, May 10, 2021 4:10 PM

To: Anania, Mark H. < Mark. Anania@stevenslee.com >

Cc: Scrivani, Stacey A. <<u>SASC@stevenslee.com</u>>; Keith Jones <<u>KJones@panitchlaw.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

### Mark:

Let me know your availability for a meet-and-confer on the below request. We want to be able to take this deposition the same day we are taking Electric's 30(b)(6) deposition which will hopefully be the first week of June.

# Best regards, John

ALERT: The health, safety and welfare of our employees, clients, vendors and friends is important to our Firm. Therefore, all employees of Panitch Schwarze are working remotely. We will continue to respond to your emails and calls as quickly as possible. Please contact us before sending anything in the mail or by hand delivery, as our offices are closed until further notice per government orders. We remain committed to you during this unprecedented time. Please reach out to us if you have any questions or concerns and thank you for your understanding.

John D. Simmons

PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP

# PANITCH SCHWARZE

Wells Fargo Tower 2200 Concord Pike, Suite 201 Wilmington, DE 19803 (302) 394-1330 Main (302) 394-6001 Direct (267) 679-3651 Cellular jsimmons@panitchlaw.com

**From:** John Simmons < <u>JSimmons@panitchlaw.com</u>>

Date: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 at 12:11 PM

To: "Anania, Mark H." < <a href="mailto:Mark.Anania@stevenslee.com">Mark H." <a href="mailto:Mark.Anania">Mark H." <a href="mailt

Cc: "Scrivani, Stacey A." < <u>SASC@stevenslee.com</u>>, Keith Jones < <u>KJones@panitchlaw.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

### Mark:

Just following-up on the below issue. Will Emerson Radio agree to allow Defendants issue a subpoena for Emerson Electric testimony to be used at trial in the Emerson Radio case?

Or, do we need to seek the assistance of the court?

Best regards, John

ALERT: The health, safety and welfare of our employees, clients, vendors and friends is important to our Firm. Therefore, all employees of Panitch Schwarze are working remotely. We will continue to respond to your emails and calls as quickly as possible. Please contact us before sending anything in the mail or by hand delivery, as our offices are closed until further notice per government orders. We remain committed to you during this unprecedented time. Please reach out to us if you have any questions or concerns and thank you for your understanding.

John D. Simmons

PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP



Wells Fargo Tower 2200 Concord Pike, Suite 201 Wilmington, DE 19803 (302) 394-1330 Main (302) 394-6001 Direct (267) 679-3651 Cellular jsimmons@panitchlaw.com

From: John Simmons <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

**Date:** Thursday, April 29, 2021 at 11:51 AM

To: "Anania, Mark H." < Mark. Anania@stevenslee.com >

Cc: "Scrivani, Stacey A." <<u>SASC@stevenslee.com</u>>, Keith Jones <<u>KJones@panitchlaw.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

Mark:

I actually meant to discuss the subpoena to EE from your case. We had previously subpoenaed EE in 2019, but EE resisted based on the CIA we were not made aware of by ER until after discovery was over.

We realize fact discovery was closed. However, since the Court recognized that each of you is potentially going to claim to be just EMERSON, we are requesting to have a *de benne esse* deposition of an EE corporate representative to present at trial in your case.

I'm pretty sure the Court would grant such a request considering the aforementioned issue raised during the Motion to Consolidate hearing. So, we are asking you to work with us.

We can also discuss the subpoena we will be issuing from the EE case for an ER corporate representative.

How is 10:30 AM on Friday?

Best regards, John

ALERT: The health, safety and welfare of our employees, clients, vendors and friends is important to our Firm. Therefore, all employees of Panitch Schwarze are working remotely. We will continue to respond to your emails and calls as quickly as possible. Please contact us before sending anything in the mail or by hand delivery, as our offices are closed until further notice per government orders. We remain committed to you during this unprecedented time. Please reach out to us if you have any questions or concerns and thank you for your understanding.

John D. Simmons
PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP



Wells Fargo Tower
2200 Concord Pike, Suite 201
Wilmington, DE 19803
(302) 394-1330 Main
(302) 394-6001 Direct
(267) 679-3651 Cellular
jsimmons@panitchlaw.com

From: "Anania, Mark H." < <a href="mark.Anania@stevenslee.com">mark H." < <a href="mark.Anania@stevenslee.com">mark.Anania@stevenslee.com</a>>

**Date:** Wednesday, April 28, 2021 at 5:36 PM **To:** John Simmons <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

**Cc:** "Scrivani, Stacey A." < <u>SASC@stevenslee.com</u>>, Keith Jones < <u>KJones@panitchlaw.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

#### Hi John:

Assuming you mean the subpoena to Emerson Radio from the Electric case? I am generally free on Friday. Does anytime then work for you?

Mark

### Mark H. Anania STEVENS & LEE P.C.

Office: 201.857.6769 | Mobile: 732.673.8924

mark.anania@stevenslee.com | www.stevenslee.com

From: John D. Simmons <JSimmons@panitchlaw.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2021 1:59 PM

To: Anania, Mark H. < Mark. Anania@stevenslee.com >

Cc: Scrivani, Stacey A. <SASC@stevenslee.com>; Keith Jones <KJones@panitchlaw.com>

Subject: Emerson Radio v. Emerson Quiet Kool - meet-and-confer to discuss subpoena to Emerson Electric

#### Mark:

Let us know your availability to discuss the subpoena to Emerson Electric.

## Best regards, John

ALERT: The health, safety and welfare of our employees, clients, vendors and friends is important to our Firm. Therefore, all employees of Panitch Schwarze are working remotely. We will continue to respond to your emails and calls as quickly as possible. Please contact us before sending anything in the mail or by hand delivery, as our offices are closed until further notice per government orders. We remain committed to you during this unprecedented time. Please reach out to us if you have any questions or concerns and thank you for your understanding.

John D. Simmons

### PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP



Wells Fargo Tower
2200 Concord Pike, Suite 201
Wilmington, DE 19803
(302) 394-1330 Main
(302) 394-6001 Direct
(267) 679-3651 Cellular
jsimmons@panitchlaw.com

This email may contain privileged and confidential information and is solely for the use of the sender's intended recipient(s). If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete all copies and attachments. Thank you.

This email may contain privileged and confidential information and is solely for the use of the sender's intended recipient(s). If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete all copies and attachments. Thank you.

This email may contain privileged and confidential information and is solely for the use of the sender's intended recipient(s). If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete all copies and attachments. Thank you.

# **EXHIBIT B**

Brian P. Gaffigan

Official Court Reporter

25

Case 1:2	0-cv-01652-LPS Document 158-1 Filed 05/20/21 Page 13 of 15 PageID #: 66
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL, LLP
3	BY: JOHN D. SIMMONS, ESQ.
4	and
5	PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL, LLP BY: KEITH A. JONES, ESQ.
6	(Philadelphia, Pennsylvania)
7	Counsel for Defendants
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	- 000 -
23	PROCEEDINGS
24	(REPORTER'S NOTE: The following telephonic oral
25	argument argument was held remotely, beginning at 12:01 p.m.)

In sum, in my view, Judge Hall's Order reasonably and properly balances considerations of efficiency, expense, and fairness, making it an appropriate basis to deny the consolidation motion under Rule 42.

Part of what I said is a quote there from the Resident vs. Works decision of this court in 2011, 774 F.Supp., back in at 264.

I want to conclude with just a couple final points.

As I explored with the parties, I do have a concern. I hear the defendants on this point that the separate trials, if they happen in a particular way, if we're not careful, could be truly unfair in an improper way to the defendant. And that would be if the jury, the two different juries are given an inaccurate view of what each plaintiffs' intellectual property rights in the mark are.

I will need the parties' assistance as this case goes forward, and I will let the Emerson Radio parties know this as well, I don't intend to allow either plaintiff to gain an unfair or improper advantage as a result of having separate trials. There may well be evidentiary disputes ahead that I will be faced with to ensure this, but I am not going to allow any plaintiff to unfairly or improperly prejudice the defendants by presenting an unfair picture of what the landscape here is with respect to use and rights in

the word "Emerson."

I don't hear the plaintiffs today to be saying they have any intent or belief that they could do this. A lot of the Lapp Factors -- maybe not a lot, but at least some of the Lapp Factors, it would seem to me, would implicate the questions of how a mark is used, and what the market looks like, and all of that would seem to implicate, for instance, in this case, evidence regarding Emerson Radio and how it uses the mark and whether the channels it is marketing and advertising and the products that Emerson Radio failed to market, how that all relates here. We'll see.

The point is it's a concern, it will remain a concern. The parties will have to initially see if they can work together on how to deal with those concerns. And if they don't, then I recognize I may be signing up for some disputes, but none of it persuades me that Judge Hall somehow erred in not consolidated these cases.

And a final related point.

I'm denying consolidation, but I suspect it
may turn out to be that some, perhaps a great amount, of
pretrial coordination may still be appropriate. I will be
entering an order seeking the proposal of the schedule in
the Emerson Radio case and in requiring those parties to
meet and confer not only with themselves but with the